

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20221
www.ispic.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/829,913	04/11/2001	Toshimi Iizuka	35.C9339 CII/DI	1149
5514	7590 03/26/2003			
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112			EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, THONG Q	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	2872	10
			DATE MAILED: 03/26/2003	16

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>				A			
		Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)	Ψ,			
Office Action Summary		09/829,913	IIZUKA, TOSHIMI				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Thong Q. Nguyen	2872				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE f this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence addres	:s			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period wire to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a re within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  "HS from the mailing date of this commu  NNDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 F	ebruary 2003 .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
·	ion of Claims	_					
4)[2]	Claim(s) <u>11-15</u> is/are pending in the application						
5)[7]	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav	wii iioiii consideration.					
· · · · ·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) <u>11-15</u> is/are rejected.						
·	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
•	ion Papers	,					
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accept	oted or b) objected to by th	ne Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on		sapproved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
•	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (t).				
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	<ul> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>						
		_		~~			
* (	3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		ye			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
	The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest						
Attachmer	•	•					
2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Ir	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nformal Patent Application (PTO-15				

Application/Control Number: 09/829,913

Art Unit: 2872

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Amendment

1. The present Office action is made in response to the amendment (Paper No. 15) filed on 2/19/2003.

## **Double Patenting**

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 11-15 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-2 of U.S. Patent No. 6,246,520 B1, of record, in view of Yasuhiko (Japanese reference No. 3-235927, submitted by applicant) and Ando et al (U.S. Patent No. 4,013,339). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the device as claimed in claims 1-2 of the Patent '520 discloses an optical apparatus having an objective lens means, an image erected prism means, an eyepiece means, and a variable angle prism means disposed at a ray-converging portion between the objective lens means and the image erected prism means for changing a

Application/Control Number: 09/829,913

Art Unit: 2872

direction of the light beam passing the objective lens means, a detecting means for detecting the shake of the apparatus, and a drive controlling means for controlling the variable prism means on the basis of the output from the detecting means.

With regard to the feature relating to the image erecting prism system having a first prism with an entrance surface and a second prism with an emission surface, such use of two prisms in an image erecting prism system is well known to one skilled in the art as can be seen in the system provided by Ando et al. While the claims do not clearly state the detecting means comprises a sensor for detecting vibrations to the optical apparatus and a detector for detecting the drive movement of the variable angle prism means; however, the use of such a detecting means having a sensor for detecting the vibrations to an optical apparatus and a second sensor for detecting the movement of a correcting system is clearly suggested to one skilled in the art as can be seen in the camera having an image stabilization function provided by Yasuhiko. Thus, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the optical apparatus provided by claims 1-2 of the Patent '520 by using an image erecting prism system having a first prism with an entrance surface and a second prism with an emission surface as suggested by Ando et al for the purpose of compensating the dispersion in the image and using a detecting system as suggested by Yasuhiko for the purpose of controlling the operation of the variable angle prism means based on the outputs from a sensor for detecting the vibrations to an optical apparatus and a second sensor for detecting the movement of a correcting system.

Art Unit: 2872

#### Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thong Q Nguyen whose telephone number is 703 308 4814. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 308 7724 for regular communications and 703 308 7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308 0956.

Application/Control Number: 09/829,913

Art Unit: 2872

Thong Q Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit 2872

\*\*\*

September 10, 2002